		FIRST INFORMATION முதல் தகவல் அறி (Under Section 154 Ci (கு.ந.வி.தொ.பிரிவு 154	ຮັດຄຣ :P.C.)	TAMIL NADU POLICE INTEGRATED INVESTIGATION FORM-I 8082897
1.	District Name Killed	PS: V18C காவல்கிலையம்	Year: 2ை 3 ஆண்டு	FIR No. 5 Ac/23 Date: 08-06.23 (µ.த.அ. எண் நாள்
2.	 (i) Act είμώ: Presention of (ii) Act είμώ: Indian β (iii) Act είμώ: 	enal cocle	🛠 Sections பிரிவுகள் Sections பிரிவுகள் Sections பிரிவுகள்	41 13(2) You 13(1)(C) S (2) of PC ACT 1988 You 109 2PC US 120 (1), 406, 409, 420, 465, 468, 471, 474 471 A BP
	(iv) Other Acts & Sections பிற சட்டங்க	ளும், பிரிவுகளும் :		406, 468, 411, 417, 11
3.	குற்ற நிகழவு நாள் Time Period : நேர அளவு	நாள் முதல் Time from : நேரம் முதல்	Date to: 20/4 நாள் வரை Time to: நேரம் வரை	
	(b) Information Received at PS. Date : காவல் நிலையத்திற்கு தகவல் கிடைத்த	நாள	Time : /?-ம நேரம்	
	(c) General Diary Reference : Entry No பொது நாட்குறிப்பில் பதிவு விவரம் என		Time : கு-ப நேரம்	5 AV
4.	Type of Information :Written/ Oral: தகவலின் வகை : எழுத்து மூலம் / வாய்	Deformation Guryburs collected.		
5.	Place of Occurrence (a) Direction and Distance from PS: About \$70 km horts book. குற்ற நிகழ்விடம் (அ) காவல்நிலையத்திலிருந்து எவ்வளவு துாரமும், எத்திசையும்			
	Beat Number : முறைக் காவல் எண் 🦟 🦟	(b) Address : முகவரி		
	(c) In case outside limit of this Police S இக்காவல் நிலைய எல்லைக்கப்பால் நடர்			District : மாவட்டம்
6.	Complainant /Informant (a) Name : 🖊 குற்றமுறையீட்டாளர்/ தகவல் தந்தவர் பெ		(b) Father's/ Husb தந்தை / கணவர் பெ	and's Name : N-CC Cola natas any Liuit
	(c) Date / Year of Birth : <i>1971</i> நாள் / பிறந்த ஆண்டு	(d) Nationality : <i>2ான் ஒர</i> நாட்டினம்	(e) Passport No. : வெளிநாட்டு கடவுச்	சீட்டு எண
	Date of Issue :	Place of Issue :		
	வழங்கப்பட்ட நாள்	வழங்கப்பட்ட இடம்	and and	And Corry lohon
	(f) Occupation : இது politice of தொழில் politice	(g) Address : மரோஷ முகவரி	Salem.	Anti Corrulation
7.	Details of Known/Suspected/Unknown தெரிந்த / ஐயப்பாட்டிற்குறிய / தெரியாத (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாள் இணைக்கவும்	குற்றஞசாட்டப்பட்டவரின் முழுவை	மயான விவரங்கள்	Browly vender la Black,

y Title Steeningen ANJERT Britan Charles For participat Vernantius Block Namekkal DF IT's leephusens formatly Panchagat Areicent, Keelus Anchagat Vernantius Block Vir. 10. Para mailing, formatly Banchagat Secretary, Keelus Panchagat vernandur Rlock 20 Tr. 10. Para mailing, formatly Overseen do Re RDO, Vernantius Pilose Namekkal Di-Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / Informant: 8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant / Informant:

குற்றமுறையீட்டாளரால் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரால் முறையிட்டதில் தகவல் கொடுப்பதில் தாமதம் 50 75 - 1 மகாவுகணை 5/6 கடுப்பல

contractor, No. 29 Ventractory shots

Raipram Tics Namaked DT.

Conducted Depiled Enginy.

GCP-240-34(Type-2) 90,000 Cps.-21.3.16(HCL-12)

- Particulars of properties stolen / involved (Attach separate sheet if necessary) களவாடப்பட்ட / களவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் விவரம் (தேவையெனில் தனித் தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)
- Total value of properties stolen / involved : களவாடப்பட்ட / கனவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் மொத்த மதிப்பு
- 11. Inquest Report / Un-natural death Case No. if any: பின விசாரணை அறிக்கை / இயற்கைக்கு மாறான இறப்பு எண் ஏதேனும் இருந்தால்
- FIR Contents (Attach separate sheet, if required) : முதல் தகவல் அறிக்கையின் சுருக்கம். (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)

encloyer is attached horewith separak A

FIR read over to the Complainant / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant / Informant free of cost.

மு.த.அ. குற்றமுறையீட்டாளருக்கு / தகவல் தந்தவருக்கு படித்துக்காட்டி, அது சரியாக எழுதப்பட்டு இருப்பதாக ஏற்றுக் கொள்ளப்பட்டு, அதன் படி நகல் ஒன்ற இலவசமாக கொடுக்கப்பட்டது.

- Signature / Thumb Impression of the Complainant / Informant குற்றமுறையீட்டாளா / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரின் ஒப்பம் / பெருவிரல் இரேகைப் பதிவு
- Date & Time of despatch to the court: நீதிமன்றத்திற்கு அனுப்பப்பட்ட நாளும், நேரமும்

08/06/ LO23 at 16. 30 hn.

GCP-240-34(Type-2) 90,000 Cps.-21.3.16(HCL-12)p.2

5100 [2023

Signature of the Officer in-charge, Police Station காவல் நிலைய பொறுப்பு அலுவலரின் ஒப்பம்

Name: Quui Nic Rav ICHANDRAN Rank: Blow DAS Pector ONO applice

ATTACHMENT TO COLUMN NO.12 OF FIRST INFORMATION REPORT IN VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION, NAMAKKAL DETACHMENT CR. NO.5/AC/2023.

A.O-1 Tr. K.K. Sreenivasan, was employed as Assistant Engineer (RD), Block Development office, Vennandur, Namakkal District from 14.07.2009,

A.O-2 Tr. S. Kuppusamy was the Panchayat President of Keelur Panchayat, Vennandur Block, Namakkal District from 2011 to 25.10.2016,

A.O-3 Tr. K. Paramasivan employed as Panchayat Secretary, Keelur Panchayat, Vennandur Block, Namakkal District from 01.11.2012 to till date,

A.O-4 Tr. P. Murugesan was employed as Overseer, Vennandur Block, Namakkal District from 10.08.2011 to 16.04.2018 and

A-5 Tr. S. Dhanasekaran, Door No. 29, Venkadasamy Street, Rasipuram Taluk, Namakkal District, is a contractor.

A1, A3 and A4 are public servants as defined u/s 2 (c) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and A2 and A5 are Private Individuals.

Based on a Detailed enquiry report submitted by one of the IO in Salem Detachment in DE 36/2017/RDP/NKL, during the period between the period 2013 and 2015, the Accused A1 to A5 entered into a criminal conspiracy by abetting each other at the office of the Block Development office, Vennandur Block, Namakkal District to misappropriate the Government fund with respect to the 3 works which were already executed at Keelur Panchayat between the period from 2009 to 2012 and another work executed at Keelur Panchayat near Mariyammankoil with a substandard work and caused wrongful loss to the Government.

It is gathered, with respect to the Construction of Retaining Wall at Kedamalai Kuttai in Keelur Village, through M. Book No. 2/13-14, Expense Voucher No. 84 dated 25.02.2014, that the estimated cost was Rs.1,00,000/-.

It is gathered that A2 Tr. S. Kuppusamy passed a Resolution on 16.08.2013 to construct the above said Retaining wall from utilizing the Panchayat General Fund and signed the same. A4 Tr. P. Murugesan, Overseer prepared the specification report attached with the estimate of the above said work and total estimation was arrived to Rs.1,00,000/- and A1 technically sanctioned the same on 01.11.2013 and

Q. 100/23

it was signed by A1 and A4.

Based upon the estimate report, A2 called upon the Tender and it was participated by A5 and one Tr. S. Kandasamy (younger brother of A-5). The enquiry revealed that Tr. S. Kandasamy did not participate in the tender proceedings and it was a bogus application, prepared by A5 in the name of Tr. S. Kandasamy. Further A2 issued the work order in the name of A5 who has quoted 0.1% lesser than the estimated value. The M. Book reveals the fact that the utilization of the Panchayat Fund for the year 2013-2014 at the estimated cost of Rs.1 lakh and the M. Book was recorded by A4 and approved by A1. The bill was prepared by A2 without mentioning the date for Rs.99,900/- and after deduction, the bill was passed for a sum of Rs.94,400/-. Accordingly the Final Contract Certificate in the name of A5 was recorded in M. Book it was restricted to Rs.99,000/- as actual amount was spent and it was counter signed by A1 for Rs.98,965/- on 20.02.2014 and signed by A2 and A4 also put his signature on 20.02.2014. The cheque in No. 136956 dated 25.02.2014 for Rs.94,400/- was prepared and signed by A2 and received by A5.

In order to substantiate the Expense Voucher, they enclosed a bill in the name of one Sri Mahalakshmi Traders, Rasipuram, Namakkal for the purchase of 49 bags of cement value at Rs.13,720/- enclosed with Expense Voucher. It is gathered that there was no such shop available as per the certificate of VAO concerned. The Expense voucher No.84 dated 25.02.2014 was prepared by A4 and it was approved by A1. The cheque issued in the name of A5 was recorded by A3 in the Cash Book and it was signed by A2 and the cheque was enhanced by A5 along with another work for total sum of Rs.1,88,800/-. The Expenditure Voucher did not disclose the actual place of construction of Retaining wall at Kedamalaikuttai (i.e.) without mentioning the side of Kedamalaikuttai and hence the accused A1 to A5 by abetting each other, prepared incorrect documents, committed forgery of the bills (purchase bills for the cement) without actually executing the work, prepared the bogus bill with bogus voucher, falsified the accounts of the Panchayat and claimed the bill based upon the work done during the period 2009 – 2012 and misappropriated the Panchayat fund to the tune of Rs.94,400/- between the period 2013 – 2014.

Of 106/23

With regard to the construction of Retaining Wall at Kedamalaikuttai in Keelur Village M. Book No.2/14-15, Expense Voucher No. 54 dated 24.12.2014, estimated cost of work at Rs.1,00,000/-.

It is gathered that, A2 Tr. S. Kuppusamy passed a Resolution on 05.02.2014 to construct the above said Retaining wall from utilizing the Panchayat General Fund and signed the same. The A4 Tr. P. Murugesan, Overseer prepared the specification report attached with the estimate of the above said work and total estimation was arrived to Rs.1,00,000/- and A1 technically sanctioned the same on 17.02.2014 and it was signed by A1 and A4.

Based upon the estimate report, A2 called upon the Tender and it was participated by A5 and one Tr. S. Kandasamy (younger brother of A5). The enquiry revealed that Tr. S. Kandasamy did not participate in the tender proceedings and it is a bogus application, prepared by A5 in the name of Tr. S. Kandasamy. Further A2 issued the work order on 26.02.2014 in the name of A5 who has quoted 0.1% lesser than the estimated value. The M. Book reveals the fact that the utilization of the Panchayat Fund for the year 2014-2015 at the estimated cost of Rs.1 lakh and the M. Book was recorded by A4 and approved by A1. The bill was prepared by A2 without mentioning the date, the bill was passed for a sum of Rs.94,044/-. Accordingly, the Final Contract Certificate in the name of A5 was recorded in M. Book it was restricted to Rs.99,000/- as actual amount spent and it was counter signed by A1 for Rs.99,554/- on 04.08.2014 and signed by A2 and A4 also put his signature on 04.08.2014. The cheque in No. 141820 dated 24.12.2014 for Rs.94,044/- was prepared and signed by A2 and received by A5.

In order to substantiate the Expense Voucher, they enclosed a bill in the name of one Sri Mahalakshmi Traders, Rasipuram, Namakkal for the purchase of 27 bags of cement value at Rs.7,560/- enclosed with Expense Voucher. It is gathered that there was no such company was available as per the certificate of VAO concerned. The Expenditure voucher No.54 dated 24.12.2014 was prepared by A4 and it was approved by A1. The cheque issued in the name of A5 was recorded by A3 in the Cash Book and it was signed by A2 and the cheque was enhanced by A5 for sum of Rs.94,044/-. The Expenditure Voucher did not disclose the actual place of construction of Retaining wall of Kedamalaikuttai (i.e.) without mentioning the side

De la lobles

of Kedamalaikuttai and hence the accused A1 to A5 by abetting each other and claimed the bill based upon the work done during the period 2009 - 2012 and misappropriated the Panchayat fund to the tune of Rs.94,044/- between the period 2014 - 2015.

It is gathered that, with regard to the providing of Stone pitching work at Kedamalaikuttai in Keelur Village, M. Book No. 1/14-15, Expense Voucher No. 27 dated 08.08.2014, estimated cost of the works is Rs.1,00,000/-.

It is gathered that A2 Tr. S. Kuppusamy passed a Resolution on 05.02.2014 to provide Stone Pitching work at Kedamalaikuttai from utilizing the Panchayat General Fund and signed the same. The A4 Tr. Murugesan, Overseer prepared the specification report attached with the estimation of the above said work and total estimation was arrived to Rs.1,00,000/- and A1 technically sanctioned the same on 17.02.2014 and it was signed by A1 and A4.

Based upon the estimate report, A2 called upon the Tender and it was participated by A5 and one Tr. S. Kandasamy (younger brother of A5). It is ascertained that Tr. S. Kandasamy did not participate in the tender proceedings and it is a bogus application, prepared by A5 in the name of Tr. S. Kandasamy. Further A2 issued the work order on 26.02.2014 in the name of A5 who has quoted 0.1% lesser than the estimated value. The M. Book reveals the fact that the utilization of the Panchayat Fund for the year 2014-2015 at the estimated cost of Rs.1 lakh and the M. Book was recorded by A4 and approved by A1. The bill was prepared by A2 without mentioning the date, the work done was estimated to Rs.94,400/- and after deduction, the bill was passed for a sum of Rs.98,900/-. Accordingly the Final Contract Certificate in the name of A5 was recorded in M. Book it was restricted to Rs.99,000/- as actual amount spent and it was counter signed by A1 for Rs.98,900/- on 04.08.2014 and signed by A2 and A4 also put his signature on 04.08.2014.The cheque in No. 136993 dated 08.08.2014 for Rs.94,400/- was prepared and signed by A2 and received by A5.

The Expenditure voucher No.27 dated 08.08.2014 was prepared by A4 and it was approved by A1. The cheque issued in the name of A5 was recorded by A3 in the Cash Book and it was signed by A2 and the cheque was enhanced by A5 for sum of Rs.94,400/-. The Expenditure Voucher did not disclose the actual place of

Q. Respol23

stone pitching work and the M. Book was written by A4 and hence the accused A1 to A5 claimed the bill based upon the work done during the period 2009 – 2012 and misappropriated the Panchayat fund to the tune of Rs.94,400/- between the period 2014 – 2015.

It is gathered that with respect to the Construction of Retaining Wall near Keelur Mariyamman Kovil, H/o Keelur Village, M. Book No. 1/13-14, Expenditure Voucher No. 84 dated 25.02.2014, estimated cost of Rs.1,00,000/-.

It is gathered that A2 Tr. S. Kuppusamy passed a Resolution on 16.08.2013 to construct the above said Retaining wall from utilizing the Panchayat general fund and signed the same. The A4 Tr. P. Murugesan, Overseer prepared the specification report attached with the estimation of the above said work total estimation was arrived to Rs.1,00,000/- and A1 technically sanctioned the same on 01.11.2013 and it was signed by A1 and A4.

Based upon the estimate report, A2 called upon the Tender and it was participated by A5 and one Tr. S. Kandasamy (younger brother of A5). The enquiry revealed that Tr. S. Kandasamy did not participate in the tender proceedings and it is a bogus application, prepared by A5 in the name of Tr. S. Kandasamy. Further A2 issued the work order in the name of A5 who has quoted 0.1% lesser than the estimated value. The M. Book reveals the fact that the utilization of the Panchayat Fund for the year 2013-2014 at the estimated cost of Rs.1 lakh and the M. Book was recorded by A4 and approved by A1. The bill was prepared by A2 without mentioning the date, after deduction, the bill was passed for a sum of Rs.94,400/-. Accordingly, the Final Contract Certificate in the name of A5 was recorded in M. Book it was restricted to Rs.99,000/- as actual amount spent and it was counter signed by A1 for Rs.98,900/- on 20.02.2014 and signed by A2 and A4 also put his signature on 20.02.2014. The cheque in No. 136956 dated 25.02.2014 for Rs.94,400/- was prepared and signed by A2 and received by A5.

In order to substantiate the Expenditure Voucher, they enclosed a bill in the name of one Sri Mahalakshmi Traders, Rasipuram, Namakkal for the purchase of 49 bags of cement value at Rs.13,720/- enclosed with Expenditure Voucher. It is gathered that there was no such company available as per the certificate of VAO concerned. The Expenditure voucher No.84 dated 25.02.2014 was prepared by A4

N. Kertalla

7

and it was approved by A1. The cheque issued in the name of A5 was recorded by A3 in the Cash Book and it was signed by A2 and the cheque was enhanced by A5 along with another work for total sum of Rs.1,88,800/-. The Expenditure Voucher did not disclose the actual place of construction of Retaining wall at Keelur Mariamman Kovil (i.e.) without mentioning the side of Keelur Mariamman Kovil and hence the accused A1 to A5 by abetting each other prepared incorrect documents, committed forgery of the bills (purchase bills for the cement) without actually executing the work, prepared the bogus bill with bogus voucher, falsified the accounts of the Panchayat and claimed the bill.

During the enquiry, evaluation report was obtained from the competent authority and it is opined that the said wall constructed with an expenditure derived to be Rs.47,626/- and if it is deducted from the total bill amount, the wrongful loss of Rs.46,774/- was committed by A1 to A5 and misappropriated by them between the period 2013 – 2014.

Thus, A1 to A5, in connivance with each other, hatched a criminal conspiracy, created false documents, forgery and used those false documents as genuine and misappropriated the Panchayat General Funds to the tune of Rs.3,29,618/- by cheating the Government. There by the A1 to A5 appears have committed the offenses u/s 120B, 406, 409, 420, 465, 468, 471, 474, 477A IPC and 13(2) r/w 13(1) (c) & (d) of Prevention of Corruption At-1988 r/w 109 IPC **(4 counts)**. There is prima facie cognizable offense made out against A1 to A5.

Against A1 Tr. K.K. Sreenivasan, A3 Tr. K. Paramasivan and A4 Tr. P. Murugesan prior sanction order was obtained u/s 17A (1) of the Prevention of Corruption Amended Act 2018 from the Commissioner of Rural Development and Panchayatraj, Chennai vide Roc. No.36575/2022/ VC III-1, dated 25.08.2022 and for A2 Tr. Kuppusamy from the District Collector, Namakkal District vide Roc No.PA3/7907/2022 dated 24.11.2022.

Hence, I am registering a case against A1 to A5 u/s 120B, 406, 409, 420, 465, 468, 471, 474, 477A IPC and 13(2) r/w 13(1) (c) & (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act-1988 r/w 109 IPC (4 counts) on 08.06.2023 at 15.30hrs.

Nel Selouis

8

Original FIR is submitted to the Hon'ble Special Judge cum Chief Judicial Magistrate, Namakkal and copy of FIR is submitted to the Director, Vigilance and Anti Corruption, Chennai.

(N.K.RAVICHANDRAN),

(N.K.RAVICHANDRAN), Inspector of Police, Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Salem.



